

E. Dilute Gas⁺

- Non-interacting gas \Rightarrow can talk about single-particle states
- We have seen that:

$$W_{FD}(\{n_i\}) = \prod_i \frac{g_i!}{n_i! (g_i - n_i)!} \quad \text{gives Fermi-Dirac distribution}$$

$$W_{BE}(\{n_i\}) = \prod_i \frac{(n_i + g_i)!}{n_i! g_i!} \quad \text{gives Bose-Einstein distribution}$$

- We now show that:

$$W(\{n_i\}) = \prod_i \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!} \quad \text{gives Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution}$$

AND for $\underbrace{\frac{n_i}{g_i}}_{\text{low density}}$ < 1, the result is valid

requires low density and high temperature

i.e., [$\lambda_{\text{thermal}} \ll$ particle separation]

and thus can be used in classical stat. mech. problems.

⁺A gas of "classical particles". But what are "classical particles"?

- Look at $W_{FD}(\{n_i\})$ in the limit $n_i \ll g_i$ for all cells i .

For each cell, there are n_i factors

$$\frac{g_i!}{n_i! (g_i - n_i)!} = \frac{g_i (g_i - 1) (g_i - 2) \dots (g_i - n_i + 1)}{n_i!}$$

Since $g_i \gg n_i$, each term in numerator $\approx g_i$

$$\therefore \frac{g_i!}{n_i! (g_i - n_i)!} \approx \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!}$$

OR

$$W_{FD}(\{n_i\}) \approx \prod_i \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!} \quad \text{for } \frac{n_i}{g_i} \ll 1 \text{ for all } i$$

- Look at $W_{BE}(\{n_i\})$ in the limit $n_i \ll g_i$ for all cells i .

For each cell, n_i factors

$$\frac{(g_i + n_i)!}{g_i! n_i!} = \frac{(g_i + 1) (g_i + 2) \dots (g_i + n_i)}{n_i!} \approx \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!}$$

$$\therefore W_{BE}(\{n_i\}) \approx \prod_i \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!} \quad \text{for } \frac{n_i}{g_i} \ll 1 \text{ for all } i$$

\therefore When $n_i \ll g_i$,

$$W_{FD}(\{n_i\}) = W_{BE}(\{n_i\}) \approx \prod_i \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!}$$

and the most probable distribution becomes the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, as we now show.

Consider

$$W(\{n_r\}) = \prod_i \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!}$$

Interpretation:

- Inside each cell i , there are many possible arrangements
 - There are g_i states in cell i
 - Let's say each particle in cell i can be placed in any of the g_i states and there is no restriction on the occupancy of each state.
 - For n_i particles in cell i , there are $\approx \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!}$ possible arrangements[†] inside cell i .
 - Number of microstates corresponding to a distribution $\{n_1, n_2, \dots\}$ is

$$W(\{n_r\}) = \prod_i \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!}$$

[†]The number $\frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!}$ works increasing well when $n_i \ll g_i$.

- To get the most probable distribution, we want to maximize $\ln W$ subjected to the constraints

$$\sum_{\text{cells } i} n_i = N = \text{constant}$$

$$\sum_{\text{cells } i} n_i E_i = E = \text{constant}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln W &= \sum_r (n_r \ln g_r - \ln n_r!) \\ &= \sum_r (n_r \ln g_r - n_r \ln n_r + n_r) \end{aligned}$$

Note: We used Stirling's formula for $\ln n_r!$

Since we grouped single-particle states into cells, we can choose $g_r \gg 1$ so that it is possible that $n_r \gg 1$ even if $\frac{n_r}{g_r}$ (# particle per state) is small ($\ll 1$).

VII-20

$$\delta \ln W = \sum_r \delta n_r (\ln g_r - \ln n_r) = 0$$

Constraints: $\sum_r n_r = N \Rightarrow \sum_r \delta n_r = 0$ (Lagrange multiplier α)

$$\sum_r E_r n_r = E \Rightarrow \sum_r \delta n_r E_r = 0 \quad (\text{Lagrange multiplier } \beta)$$

- Using the method of Lagrange multipliers:

$$\sum_r (\ln g_r - \ln n_r - \alpha - \beta E_r) \delta n_r = 0$$

$$\therefore \ln g_r - \ln n_r - \alpha - \beta E_r = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \ln \left(\frac{n_r}{g_r} \right) = -\alpha - \beta E_r \quad \boxed{\text{Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution}} \\ &\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{n_r}{g_r} = e^{-\alpha} e^{-\beta E_r}} \quad \boxed{\text{(Key result)}} \end{aligned}$$

\approx "probability" that a single-particle state of energy E_r is occupied [# particles per available state]

[this is just the Boltzmann distribution, but now applied to a single particle!]

OR $n_r = g_r e^{-\alpha} e^{-\beta E_r}$

particles in cell r \rightarrow # states of energy E_r \rightarrow # particles per state of energy E_r
 [depends on confining potential] [does not depend on g_r]

VII-21

$$\text{As } \sum_r n_r = N \Rightarrow e^{-\alpha} \sum_r g_r e^{-\beta E_r} = N$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-\alpha} = \frac{N}{\sum_r g_r e^{-\beta E_r}} \quad (\text{fixed } \alpha \text{ by a constraint})$$

$$\therefore n_r = N \frac{g_r e^{-\beta E_r}}{Z}, \quad Z = \sum_r g_r e^{-\beta E_r}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{n_r}{N} = \frac{g_r e^{-\beta E_r}}{Z}}$$

= single-particle partition function

Prob. of finding a particle in a cell r (energy level E_r)

[Again, this is just a special case of our general result in Ch. II. But now, we need the particles to be non-interacting.]

Remark: Formally, β comes in as a Lagrange multiplier. To establish its physical meaning of $\frac{1}{kT}$, we need to apply the result to a problem of known results (e.g. ideal gas) and then identify $\beta = \frac{1}{kT}$. Since we already discussed the more general canonical ensemble approach, we will not do this here.

Summary / Validity (Dilute gas regime)

- Starting with (considering single-particle states)

$$W(\{n_r\}) \propto \prod_r \left(\frac{g_r^{n_r}}{n_r!} \right) \quad (\text{not been careful about the quantum nature of particles})$$

Maximize $\ln W$ with the constraints:

$$\sum_r n_r = N ; \quad \sum_r E_r n_r = E$$

(this is based on what we know about isolated systems),

$$\text{we get } \frac{n_r}{g_r} = N \frac{e^{-\beta E_r}}{\sum_r g_r e^{-\beta E_r}}$$

$$\text{OR } \frac{n_r}{N} = \frac{g_r e^{-\beta E_r}}{\sum_r g_r e^{-\beta E_r}} = \frac{g_r e^{-\beta E_r}}{\sum_r z^r}$$

- Can be regarded as applying our canonical ensemble result to a system of a single-particle. In doing so, implicitly, we require the single particle can only be weakly interacting with the other particles. Also, particles seldom go into the same state ($n_r \ll g_r$) and thus no need to worry about fermionic/bosonic effect.

Q: When is $W(\{n_r\}) \propto \prod_r \left(\frac{g_r^{n_r}}{n_r!} \right)$ good?

- Each of the n_r particles can be in any one of the g_r states

Will NOT cause problem if

$$\frac{n_r}{g_r} \ll 1 \quad (\text{OK}) \quad [\text{classical statistics}]$$

- since the chance of placing two or more particles in the same state is tiny, if $n_r \ll g_r$

AND

if identical particles do NOT occupy the same state, why do we need to care about they are fermions or bosons? (i.e., quantum nature of particles is not important if $n_r \ll g_r$)

[indistinguishability still needs to be considered]

Will cause problem if

$$n_r \approx g_r \quad (\text{not OK}) \quad [\text{quantum statistics}]$$

- then we need to consider the restriction on occupying single-particle states

[Fermi-Dirac or Bose-Einstein statistics]

Claiming a result:

"Classical ideal gas"

$$z = \frac{1}{h^3} \int dx \int dy \int dz \int dp_x \int dp_y \int dp_z e^{-\beta \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2}{2m}}$$

$$= \frac{V}{h^3} \left(\int dp e^{-\beta \frac{p^2}{2m}} \right)^3$$

$$= \frac{V}{h^3} \left(\frac{2\pi mkT}{\beta} \right)^{3/2} = V \cdot \left(\frac{2\pi mkT}{h^2} \right)^{3/2}$$

$$\therefore \text{In a classical gas, } \frac{n_r}{N} = \frac{g_r e^{-\beta \epsilon_r}}{z}$$

$$\frac{n_r}{g_r} = \frac{N e^{-\beta \epsilon_r}}{V \left(\frac{2\pi mkT}{h^2} \right)^{3/2}} = \frac{e^{-\beta \epsilon_r} \left(\frac{h^2}{2\pi mkT} \right)^{3/2}}{\left(\frac{V}{N} \right)} \propto \frac{\lambda_{th}^3}{\left(\frac{V}{N} \right)}$$

Define:

λ_{th} = thermal de Broglie wavelength

$$= \sqrt{\frac{h^2}{2\pi mkT}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi h^2}{m k T}}$$

quantum aspect

$n_r \ll g_r$ if

$\lambda_{th} \ll \left(\frac{V}{N} \right)^{1/3}$ = average separation between particles
in the gas
 \Rightarrow high temp. and/or dilute

[Then we don't need to consider quantum feature seriously.]

A by-product...

On p. VII-21, α is fixed by the constraint $\sum_r n_r = N$ to be

$$C^{-\alpha} = \frac{N}{\sum_r g_r e^{-\beta \epsilon_r}} = \frac{N}{z}$$

We just found that for classical ideal gas

$$z = V \cdot \left(\frac{2\pi mkT}{h^2} \right)^{3/2} = \frac{V}{\lambda_{th}^3}$$

$$\therefore C^{-\alpha} = \frac{N}{z} = \frac{N}{V} \left(\frac{h^2}{2\pi mkT} \right)^{3/2} = \frac{N}{V} \lambda_{th}^3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \alpha &= -\ln \left[\frac{N}{V} \left(\frac{h^2}{2\pi mkT} \right)^{3/2} \right] \\ &= \ln \left[\frac{V}{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{th}^3} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$\gg 1$ for classical ideal gas

Previously, we have

$$\mu = -kT \ln \left[\frac{V}{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{th}^3} \right] \text{ for classical ideal gas}$$

chemical potential

$$\text{Comparing: } \alpha = -\frac{\mu}{kT} = -\beta \mu$$

we used this relationship in rewriting $f_{FD}(\epsilon)$ and $f_{BE}(\epsilon)$. Here is an illustration that it is indeed the case for classical gas.

Remark

VII-25

- The Maxwell-Boltzmann (classical) statistics also works for N distinguishable particles.

ways for N particles to realize a division into $\{n_1, n_2, \dots\}$ for the cells = $\frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! \dots}$

Inside a cell (g_i states), each particle (out of n_i) can take on any state

\Rightarrow # ways of arranging n_i particles among g_i states

$$= g_i^{n_i}$$

$$W(\{n_i\}) = \frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! \dots} g_1^{n_1} \cdot g_2^{n_2} \dots$$
$$= N! \prod_i \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!} \propto \prod_i \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!}$$

Maximizing this W with the constraints gives the Maxwell-Boltzmann result.